

5-5-15

# Conover, Shey ~ Oral History Interview

Julia Beaty

Follow this and additional works at: Voices from the Fisheries: <u>https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/humandimensions/voices-from-the-fisheries/index</u>

National Working Waterfront Network: <a href="http://www.wateraccessus.com/">http://www.wateraccessus.com/</a>

#### **Recommended Citation**

Beaty, Julia. Shey Conover WWF Inventory Oral History. (Jan. 23, 2015). *Voices from the Working Waterfront: Oral Histories from around the Nation. Voices from the Fisheries. NOAA*. <u>https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/humandimensions/voices-from-the-fisheries/index</u>.

This Oral History was produced in 2015 as part of the *Voices from the Working Waterfront: Oral Histories from around the Nation* project by the NOAA Office of Coastal Management, National Sea Grant Law Center, and Maine Sea Grant College Program with funding from the NOAA Preserve America Initiative.

National Sea Grant Law Center University of Mississippi P.O. Box 1848 University, MS 38677 (662) 915-7775 <u>http://nsglc.olemiss.edu/</u> Maine Sea Grant College Program 5784 York Complex The University of Maine Orono, ME 04469-5784 (207) 581-1435 http://www.seagrant.umaine.edu/ NOAA's Office for Coastal Management 1305 East West Hwy Silver Spring, MD 20910 (301) 713-3156 <u>http://coast.noaa.gov/</u>

## Interview with Shey Conover by Julia Beaty

Summary Sheet and Transcript

Interviewee

Conover, Shey

#### Interviewer

Beaty, Julia

#### Date

January 23, 2015

#### Place

Rockland, ME

#### **ID Number**

VWWF\_SC\_003

#### **Use Restrictions**

This interview transcript is provided for individual research purposes only; for all other uses, including publication, reproduction and quotation beyond fair use, permission must be obtained in writing from: *Voices from the Fisheries*, NMFS, 15 Carlson Lane, Falmouth, MA 02540.

## **Biographical Note**

Shey Conover is the Chief Operating Officer at the Island Institute in Rockland, Maine. She was born on March 5, 1980.

#### **Scope and Content Note**

Shey Conover describes the Maine Working Waterfront Mapping Inventory that her organization, with many partners, conducted in the mid 2000s. Ms. Conover describes how the Maine Working Waterfront Coalition was striving to provide communities with tools to protect their waterfront access, but that a comprehensive inventory of existing access points and type of access was lacking. Ms. Conover describes the attributes that were collected, the importance of community engagement in this process, and how it revealed some alarming data about the small amount of prime working waterfront access in the state. She explains how this and other initiatives of the Maine Working Waterfront Coalition have informed both community efforts and the National Working Waterfront Network.

## **Indexed Names**

Transcript—SC\_003

#### 1 [Begin Shey Conover-Part 1 Interview]

2

-			
3	nn	· 00	:03
5	υu	.00	.03

- 4 Interviewer: So my name is Julia Beaty; I'm here today with Shey Conover at the Island
- 5 Institute Offices in Rockland, Maine and it's the morning of January 23, 2015. Shey do you want
- 6 to introduce yourself and say who you are and what you do?
- 7
- 8 00:00:22
- 9 Shey Conover: Sure; my name is Shey Conover. I'm the Chief Operating Officer at the Island
- 10 Institute. My birthday is March 5, 1980. My role at the Island Institute is to oversee our
- 11 program's department. The Island Institute is a 30 year-old community development nonprofit
- 12 here and we work to sustain Maine's island and remote coastal communities and exchange ideas
- 13 and experiences to further the sustainability of communities here and elsewhere.
- 14
- 15 **00:00:51**
- 16 Interviewer: Great; so we're here today talking about working waterfronts. Can you for
- 17 someone who is not familiar with working waterfronts can you just talk about what that phrase
- 18 means and what's a working waterfront, what's a--what are some characteristics of a good
- 19 working waterfront?

20

- 21 00:01:10
- 22 Shey Conover: Sure; working waterfronts kind of collectively define the soul and character of
- 23 Maine's island and coast--are a major part of Maine's economy both from the ocean economy,

Page 1 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

24 fisheries standpoint. The lobstering industry is hugely important for Maine's coastal economy 25 but also attracts a number of visitors who come here to experience the unique character of having 26 an owner/operated fishery that you can sit on the dock and--and watch go out and--and talk with 27 folks. So it's a very important part of Maine's heritage, of our current economy, and of the 28 history of our place. 29 30 00:01:55 31 Interviewer: Yeah; definitely. 32 33 00:01:56 34 **Shev Conover:** So--so working waterfronts there are a number of definitions that vary a little bit 35 around the--the country. For the purposes of the Working Waterfront Access Project that the 36 Island Institute did back in 2005 and the way that we normally characterize it is property that 37 supports activities that require access to the water to make a living. So often in Maine that is 38 commercial fisheries whether that's the lobster industry, clamming, any fishery that--that needs 39 to--everyone who is getting--who is earning their--their living from the water needs--needs 40 access in order to get out there to make a living. 41 00:02:45 For the purposes of our study we also included marinas and boatyards and--and other 42 43 kinds of industries that need access to the water in order to sustain their livelihoods. 44 45 00:03:00 46 **Interviewer:** So what was like the driving force behind doing this project?

> Page 2 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

48 00:03:05

49 Shey Conover: There were a number of different reasons why we kind of conceived of the idea 50 of developing an inventory to--for working waterfront access in Maine. In the early 2000s there 51 were a number of organizations in the State that came together to form the Working Waterfront 52 Coalition whose aim was to develop tools to protect working waterfront access in Maine.

53 **00:03:34** 

There were a number of inventories that looked at aspects of waterfront access in Maine but there was not a complete inventory of exactly how much was remaining, what the characteristics of it were, how many were publicly versus privately held and one of the things that we realized is that it would be potentially really helpful both to characterize the kind of-kind of attributes that makes working waterfronts really valuable so one of the highest priority characteristics to protect in working waterfront and also where do we currently stand so that we can best develop strategies to protect the access that remains?

61 00:04:24

So that was one impetus was to provide information to support the efforts of the Working 62 Water Coalition to develop protection strategies. In the kind of early 2000s, 2005--excuse me, 63 64 real estate values were increasing tremendously so another I guess--so. What was interesting 65 about this project is that there were a number of different reasons both at the local level, at the regional level, and at the statewide level why it would be helpful to have this information. At the 66 67 regional level was to support the efforts of the Working Water Coalition; at the local level 68 because of increasing real estate costs, local communities were also working really hard to say 69 what are some strategies that we can take on whether that's zoning or whether that is having

> Page 3 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

70	towns work to invest in purchasing additional public access points. But there was a lot of efforts
71	going on at all levels basically to support protecting working waterfront access and so when we
72	went through the process of developing this inventory we were really focused on what
73	information would be helpful at all levels so that it could beit could be supportive of a number
74	of different efforts to protect working waterfront access.
75	
76	00:06:00
77	Interviewer: Great; so was the Working Waterfront Coalition like a way to bring together all
78	those different levels or was that more or something different?
79	
80	00:06:11
81	Shey Conover: Uh
82	
83	00:06:13
84	Interviewer: Maybe it's not relevant but
85	
86	00:06:15
87	Shey Conover: Thethe Working Waterfront Coalition iswas actually a national model for
88	protecting working waterfront access and had I believe it was around 150 different organizations
89	that came together to support protecting working waterfront access that ranged from harbor
90	masters and town officials to nonprofit organizations, state agencies and industry and industry-
91	based organizations.
92	00:06:48

Page 4 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

93 And a couple of the--the major successes of the Working Waterfront Coalition were to 94 work with the legislature to develop a bond package that helped to--helped communities invest in 95 purchasing working waterfront access to remain in perpetuity. Another was--. 96 00:07:35 97 Another really important tool that the Working Waterfront Coalition helped to create was 98 working with the legislature to create a current use tax incentive so that working waterfront 99 properties used specifically for commercial fishing activities could be taxed at a lower rate 100 similar to existing programs for farmland and forestry and that kind of thing. So those were two 101 of--of the major initiatives that the Working Waterfront Coalition took on and has--and since 102 then leaders from Maine's Working Waterfront Coalition have gone onto create to support the 103 creation of a National Working Waterfront Coalition to both share the strategies that Maine is--104 has used to protect working waterfront but also to be able to learn from States around the country 105 for ways that they can work together to address these challenges that--that really small 106 communities around the nation are facing. 107 108 00:08:37 109 **Interviewer:** Yeah; so you sort of touched on this a little bit but I'm wondering if it would be 110 helpful to kind of elaborate more on the threats that working waterfronts in Maine face and like 111 what it might look like to a community that's you know feeling pressure to--to like sell land or to 112 you know kind of change the character of their working waterfronts. I don't know if you have--if 113 you want to talk about any particular examples of communities that have faced these pressures or 114 just talk about like the changes that are happening in a more general sense, and like what it

> Page 5 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

- 115 actually looks like to a community to--to face these pressures and to need to preserve what they116 have left.
- 117
- 118 **00:09:22**

Shey Conover: Sure; so one example that I guess I'll start speaking to in a bit is the St. George Peninsula and they were one of our--the major partners in our project in helping to identify how this project could be used to support local initiatives. But the primary fishing industry in Maine of course is the--the lobster industry; historically access to the water has--has happened over privately owned property fishermen have had, waterfront land, they've had their own piers and been able to walk out their front door, go to their boats and head out on the water.

125 00:10:10

126 Over the past several decades with increasing property values and increasing taxes 127 they're feeling--private property owners are feeling the squeeze so that if they're getting out of 128 the fishery it's a big temptation to be able to sell your property for major-major dollars that can 129 help in your retirement but often those--those buyers aren't interested in having folks come 130 across their pier early in the morning and smell bait and all of the--all of the character that comes with--with fishing. And so communities were--or are--remain really concerned about that trend 131 132 for how to make sure that the access to the water, which is primarily privately owned in St. 133 George in 2003, a majority of working waterfront access was privately owned--about 67-percent 134 was--was privately held--as that conversion starts to happen communities are really interested in 135 looking to towns or nonprofit organizations to be able to partner to invest in publicly owned 136 infrastructure which is much--at much less risk of conversion and--and loss of access.

137 **00:11:34** 

- 141 Interviewer: Yeah; definitely. That's great. So I guess maybe now should we switch to talking
- 142 about like the project itself and how you--like what you did and how you went about doing it?
- 143 Do you think that like this is a good point in the conversation or--

144

- 145 **00:11:54**
- 146 Shey Conover: Sure.

147

- 148 **00:11:54**
- 149 Interviewer: --unless there is anything else that you want to talk about--about working
- 150 waterfronts in general in Maine but I'm sure that stuff will come up as we talk about other
- 151 things, but--.
- 152
- 153 **00:12:03**
- 154 Shey Conover: Sounds good.
- 155
- 156 **00:12:05**
- 157 Interviewer: Okay.

158

- 159 **00:12:06**
- 160 Shey Conover: [Laughs] So, the Island Institute started the mapping working working
- 161 waterfront access inventory project back in 2005. The idea was born out of a collaboration with

Page 7 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

162 many organizations that were part of the Working Waterfront Coalition and as I mentioned 163 earlier was an effort to develop a tool collaboratively that could provide useful information that 164 could be helpful at the local level for nonprofits and conservation organizations that were 165 interested in supporting communities to protect working working waterfront access and to state 166 agencies that were looking to develop strategies to protect this important resource for the State. 167 00:13:00 168 So one of the--the reasons that the Island Institute got involved is that we had a long 169 history of collaborative research projects and mapping expertise and so in developing the 170 methodology we worked really closely with a number of community partners to sit down over a 171 series of maps to say okay what kind of information is helpful to you in a community? What are 172 the characteristics of a working waterfront that are incredibly important to capture at the local 173 level, at the statewide level? What are we--what are we missing; what is a realistic way to go 174 about collecting the information in a way that is both helpful for decision makers and also 175 respects what can be you know very private kind of confidential information particularly where 176 you're talking about access over private land? And so there were a number of conversations with 177 our project partners to identify the--the right kind of data to collect and to develop the right 178 strategies for protecting that data and making sure that it can be used at a--with a variety of 179 different stakeholders. 180 00:14:26 181 Our primary project partners included Sunrise County Economic Council from 182 Washington County, Cobscook Bay Resource Center in Washington County, CEI, Mitchell 183 Geographics, the Maine State Planning Office Coastal Program, the Town of St. George was a 184 huge partner and Maine DMR and the NOAA Coastal Services Center were all--you know the

> Page 8 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

185	group of people who got together and met over a six-month period to try to hammer out some of
186	thosethe data attribute questions and theand the questions of data sharing and use policies.
187	
188	00:15:05
189	Interviewer: Was that a challenging process to bring all those different types of organizations
190	and potential users of the final product to like bring them altogether and getkind of come up
191	with like an agreement on what sort of information you wanted to collect and how it will be used
192	and what will be available?
193	
194	00:15:26
195	Shey Conover: I think it was a series of really useful and helpful conversations; it was
196	definitely interesting and had a lot of back and forth for whathow do you collect everything and
197	then whatwhat is realistic to collect and ultimately for us it came down to if we're sitting down
198	with community members and asking them to share this local information with us what do they
199	feel comfortable sharing and how can we respect the the knowledge and expertise that they're
200	bringing to the table and the concerns and sensitivities that they have? So it was definitely a back
201	and forth but that was thethe ultimate goal isis getting the best information in a way that
202	supported the community's interest in sharing it.
203	
204	00:16:16
205	Interviewer: Yeah; so maybe for someone who is thinking about doing something similar in
206	another area that might be something that they would want to think about is like this level of
207	effort that went in upfront and it sounds like that was a long process.

Page 9 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

209 00:16:33

210 Shey Conover: So it was about a six month process with the steering committee that helped to 211 identify the--the right attributes and process for data collection. And then it was about a two-year 212 process to go out and collect the data. There are 142 towns in Maine so it was a pretty extensive 213 effort. There were a number of folks here at the Island Institute and then several partner 214 organizations in Eastern Maine and in Southern Maine to--and really the partnerships are about 215 building relationships with the folks that--with the communities that are sharing the information 216 and also helping to identify what was unique. What was interesting about this project is that 217 while the data set is helpful, what was just as helpful was the community conversations that 218 happened during the data collection process. And often times you would be sitting around a table 219 with five people from a community who would only be concerned about protecting working 220 waterfront access but they had never sat down together to have a conversation about what it 221 meant for their community or what strategies they are each thinking about to help protect 222 working waterfront access.

**223 00:17:53** 

So it was really a special experience to be able to sit down with folks and be able to hear their ideas and talk about what they wanted for their future of their community and also you know often got the question well what are you learning from other communities, and what are they doing, and how--how can we learn from them? And so it was--it was great to be able to share those stories during the data collection process as well as being able to go back after the fact and deliver them a product that hopefully they could incorporate into their comprehensive plans and--and other local planning efforts.

- 231
- 232 **00:18:28**
- 233 Interviewer: Yeah.
- 234
- 235 00:18:29
- 236 Shey Conover: I don't even remember the original question. [*Laughs*] So I'm sorry; I just got
- 237 off into a tangent of what I really liked.
- 238
- **239 00:18:34**
- 240 Interviewer: That's great.
- 241
- 242 **00:18:34**

243 Shey Conover: About the project. I--I guess one other piece that I would say about others who 244 may be interested in taking on this kind of project is the--hopefully the attributes being able to 245 share the attributes that we thought were important in Maine is helpful for other States who 246 might be interested in taking on this kind of effort. I think just as important is recognizing that 247 the definitions of working waterfronts and the importance attributes may vary quite a bit in 248 different regions. And so it is really important to be able to work with community stakeholders to 249 say okay; how--how can we capture the unique qualities and important values for working 250 waterfront access in our State to make sure that it--that it reflects those values? 251

- 231
- **252 00:19:26**

Interviewer: Yeah; I think that's really awesome that you guys did that--that way and just like reading through this report and realizing that you got information, you know you worked with and you know got every coastal town to participate in some way and I thought that was amazing, like I would never go into a project like this and--and just imagine like I'm going to get every single town. I would just be like well, some of them aren't going to want to do it and that's fine, but you guys like--you went to every single town, right and you--?

259

**260 00:19:53** 

261 **Shey Conover:** We did. And the--the level of interest and participation varied a little bit in each 262 town and a lot of that often was based on the level of pressure that communities were feeling 263 around this issue or how--how much they were already addressing that challenge. And so in 264 some communities, there were day-long meetings that--that spanned a lot of different topics but 265 that went into a lot of the details of how to protect the strategies for protecting working 266 waterfront access in addition to sharing the--the data and the stories about different types of 267 working waterfront access both that are currently town-held and that are privately held and--and 268 often those privately held ones came with--with stories about you know kind of the history of 269 that property and--and the families that have used it for generations and--and that was really 270 great to communities that said we don't have very much but this can be a very short 271 conversation; here's the one public access that we have and we're happy to share it. But um it 272 was--it was--there were often multiple conversations that led up to collecting the data you know 273 that starts with a letter about what the project is that goes to attending a select Board Meeting or 274 a Harbor Committee Meeting to say here--here's a little bit more about the project. Here's how 275 we would like to go about collecting it and how we would anticipate sharing your information

> Page 12 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

276	and the information that we would be able to provide back to you if you participated and then
277	coming back tocoming back to collect the data and then going through a round of fact-checking
278	so that after we originally collected the data each community kind of received a draft map and
279	data source to say let's make sure that we got this right before we deliver it back to them and
280	ultimately wrapped it up into the larger inventory project.
281	
282	00:21:58
283	Interviewer: Nice. So it was a drawn out process?
284	
285	00:21:59
286	Shey Conover: It was a drawn out process [Laughs] overover two years and I think when we
287	started it in 2005 we thought, "We can do this in nine months!" [Laughs] But it reallyspending
288	the time to make sure that you're building the right relationships isis incredibly important and
289	respecting thethe concerns and making sure that you can have the conversations about how the
290	information will be used and who is the best person to work with to collect it and making sure
291	that we're able to close the loop and provide the information back to communities.
292	
293	00:22:33
294	Interviewer: Okay; definitely. So you mentioned that, you know, they had these meetings and it
295	in some ways served as a way to get people talking and maybe people who were already
296	concerned but this just provided them the reason to start talking about it and doing something
297	about it, like were there any examples of where something like that happened and it turned into

Page 13 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

298 like a--like a project that a town took up and they were like oh, we wanted to do
299 something anyway and now we're going to do this kind of thing?

300

**301 00:23:04** 

302 Shey Conover: I would I guess give a couple of different kinds of examples. Often where there 303 has been a really detailed follow-up project this--the issue was already a pretty major concern. 304 Folks were definitely interested in talking with us and seeing this as one point of information in a 305 longer conversation and data collection effort about how to invest in their--in their community. I 306 would use I guess the Town of St. George again in that they started by helping us understand the 307 important kinds of information to collect and identifying what their existing waterfront access 308 resources were. And then wanted to take it one step further and so contracted with a company to 309 kind of identify for all of their shoreline whether it currently provides working waterfront access 310 or not where--where are the characteristics that would be really important for our-our fleet and 311 for public access that--that where the areas that have that--those characteristics so that they can 312 identify properties to say okay if something were to come up for sale here--here's an area that 313 has potentially really a high priority for protection or here's where we're meeting about half of 314 our guidelines. So that was--that was really interesting.

**3**15 **00:24:50** 

One of the other things that has been really--that I'm proud has been continued to be used is that the Working Waterfront Access Protection Program which is the bond program funded by the State has used our access as part of their review process so that towns that have wanted to apply to that program to receive bond funding to help purchase and protect working waterfront access have used our maps to identify the unique characteristics for the property that they want to

> Page 14 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

protect, to identify how it fits with the -- the other kind of assets in their community and why it's 321 322 important to protect the property that they're--that they're looking to. So that--that's a way that 323 the information has continued to be used and then another way I think is less kind of--another 324 example is less specific community driven but one of the--our--one of the reasons for doing the 325 inventory was an interest in the conservation community in identifying potential roles that they 326 could play in protecting working waterfront access and their--it was information being collected 327 about kind of scenic, the value of different scenic properties or the historical value of different 328 properties and our information helped to feed what--what are some of the working waterfront 329 heritage and current high-value properties that--that both support important economic 330 development for a community but also are really important for maintaining the heritage of a 331 community. 332 00:26:49 And so land trusts have asked for information for the communities that they partner with 333 334 to say, how does this fit within our conservation priorities and are there ways that we could be 335 talking with communities about supporting working waterfront access protection as well? 336 337 00:27:10 338 **Interviewer:** Awesome. So it sounds like these are certain types of communities coming 339 together that maybe don't normally like commercial fishing and conservation organizations and 340 historical societies maybe even, like is that something that kind of came out of this? 341 342 00:27:25

> Page 15 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

343 **Shey Conover:** Absolutely; I think it--it started not as a result of this project. There was a really 344 unique project that happened in the Town of York that was completely separate from--from this project but really helped to highlight another kind of stakeholder group that we should consider 345 346 at the table and to say what kind of--we need all of the unique partnerships that we can get to 347 protect this important resource and how can we make sure that we're including information that 348 is helpful to those organizations who are--who are looking to--to be a part of protecting that--that 349 access? 350 00:28:08 351 But it was really the--the York Land Trust working with a couple of fishermen in the 352 Town of York to help identify how you would--what are the legal structures that you would go 353 about protecting working working waterfront access as a conservation as part of conservation 354 land and writing the covenants and all of those kinds of legal aspects that--that it takes that has 355 really been a model more broadly for fishing and conservation partnerships. 356 357 00:28:43 358 Interviewer: Awesome. So I guess I was curious; some of the like the numbers that come out of 359 this report like the numbers of miles of working waterfront that are left and the number of 360 locations like I don't know if you want to talk about any of those or like were any of those 361 surprising to you that you--? 362 363 00:29:10 364 Shey Conover: Maine's coastline is--Maine has a 5,300 mile coastline; before this project there 365 was thought to be about 25 miles remaining of working waterfront access. One of the goals of

> Page 16 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

this project was to identify is that--is that true or is it more or is it less? We found it to be 366 367 slightly-slightly less; some of the--some of the information was--wasn't surprising that the 368 majority of access identified was privately owned and more vulnerable to conversion--was not at 369 all a surprise but was helpful to identify the characteristics of that private access to understand 370 how best to protect it. 371 00:29:55 One of--a couple of more shocking things for me was the idea of prime working working 372 373 waterfront access. One of the--this happened during the data collection or I guess data attribute 374 collection process to say you know what--what do folks consider the most important 375 characteristics of a high-quality working working waterfront and three that came out pretty 376 strongly for communities was that it should have all tide access, that it should have adequate 377 parking and would have access to fuel. And so what was most surprising to me was actually the--378 how few properties currently have all three of those qualities and of those how even fewer 379 actually currently support commercial fishing access. 380 00:31:01 381 So 81 of the 1,500 working working waterfront access points were identified as prime 382 working waterfront access with those characteristics but only 62 of those [support commercial 383 fishing activities]. 384 385 00:31:16 386 [End Shey Conover-Part 1; Begin Part 2] 387 388 00:00:00

> Page 17 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

389 **Interviewer:** Okay; so this is tape two recording number two to go along with the interview of Shey Conover at the Island Institute on January 23<sup>rd</sup>. We had some technical difficulties, but I 390 391 think they're fixed now so we're going to try to pick up where we think we left off. 392 393 00:00:20 394 Shey Conover: Great; so the -- I'm pleased that the information has been able to be used at a 395 variety of different levels. At the community level it's been really great to see the information 396 used both to support community applications for the Working Waterfront Access Protection 397 Program bond funds to protect particular access points. It has also been really interesting to have 398 communities approach us asking them--asking us to share the data with potential community 399 nonprofit conservation partners who they want to work with as partners to protect their working 400 waterfront access and similarly to have regional organizations approach us asking for 401 information from--from the communities to help. Whether it's a conservation group often it's to 402 help include as a data point as they're identifying priorities for future conserved lands or also 403 working with state agencies like the Department of Transportation or the Coastal Program to 404 make sure that it's included in--the information is included in their inventories of public access 405 and those kinds of things. So it's--it's nice to see it being used at all levels and to have the kind 406 of cross-pollination and inclusion with complementary studies that are happening around the 407 state.

408

409 00:02:06

410 Interviewer: Yeah; so when somebody comes to you or to a community and says we want to 411 use some of the data that was collected from this in our efforts to do something similar does that 412 have to go through like a review process like to get permission?

413

414 **00:02:23** 

415 Shey Conover: So the--the data permissions that the Steering Committee drafted have a couple 416 of different levels. Information for public access data – so, properties that are publicly owned 417 that allow open public access are available and can be freely distributed. The information that 418 community shared about privately held or private--privately held property or property that you 419 need special permission to be able to use is still considered public information but one of the 420 things that felt really important for communities was to understand who is requesting the 421 information and why and so any time we get a request for the full data set we ask folks to--to 422 contact the officials in a community to identify you know why--why they want the information 423 and to get permission before we--before we release the information which is you know just a 424 good--it's a great courtesy for communities and it also encourages that conversation about where 425 there may be overlapping interests in this information and preserving working waterfront 426 properties.

427

#### 428 00:03:48

429 Interviewer: Awesome. So if somebody in another location were thinking about doing

430 something similar would you have any advice for like things that you would do differently,

things that you would definitely keep the same or like if you were to update this in the future,

432 anything that you would want to add or do differently?

Page 19 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

434 00:04:11

435 Shey Conover: The--the most important aspect is including communities in the conversation 436 from the beginning to identify what are the priorities that are important and what information is--437 do they consider really important to share and--and what information are they willing to share. I 438 think the other recommendation I would--I would give is--is to build the--a broad base of 439 partnerships for both communities and organizations that are working along the coast that have 440 the relationships with the communities that can be partners for our data collection effort. It--it is 441 a very intensive and time-consuming process and so partners are really important both from 442 making sure that they're--the information can be as helpful as possible to as broad a group as 443 possible but also to help with-help with the effort of-of data collection and bringing in partners 444 who have strong community relationships means that there are a number of organizations that are 445 continuing the conversation with local communities and that can act as resources for those 446 communities once the data is collected.

**4**47 **00:05:33** 

448 So I think both of those are really helpful. And one of the challenges frankly that--that we 449 faced with this is that it was--it was a two year data collection effort that we undertook now 10 450 years ago and it's really--I'm really happy that we're still getting requests for the information 451 and that it's still being used, but we have not gone through and done a major update of the 452 information. And so at this point we have a good baseline but have less information about where 453 things stand now.

454 **00:06:07** 

455	We've had a couple of communities who are very actively still using the data so if
456	something changes they'll call us andand make sure that we update it in our database which is
457	really exciting. But in otherother communities we're not sure how much has changed. And so
458	thatthat's just something that I guess I would caution folks to consider isis really helpful to
459	get a baseline and to be able to use that information and a part of the conversation should also be
460	is this part of a longer-term study that we anticipate updating or are we looking for a baseline
461	that allows us to develop strategies to protect what we currently have?
462	
463	00:06:46
464	Interviewer: And so you're still figuring that out like the door is still open to maybe?
465	
466	00:06:53
467	Shey Conover: I would say we have been primarily focused in continuing to participate in
468	Maine's Working Waterfront Coalition and supporting the efforts of the National Working
469	Waterfront Coalition and understanding strategies that are being used to support working
470	waterfront preservation more broadly. We haven't talked at this point about doing another major
471	data collection effort although two years ago we did reach out to about 10-percent of the
472	communities to fact-check, I guess toto just touch base and say how is this information being
473	used? Are there major changes that you're seeing to yourto your waterfront?
474	00:07:39
475	So it'swe have a little bit of an update but itit certainly isn't the comprehensive effort
476	that the original inventory collected.
477	

Page 21 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

#### 478 **00:07:54**

479 Interviewer: So I think you've you know covered it pretty well and touched on most of the 480 things that I was imagining that we would talk about. So I don't know if there's anything else 481 about the inventory that you want to say that we haven't talked about yet or if you want to talk 482 about any other work that the Island Institute has done that it like relates to or fed into. I guess 483 I'll leave it up to you of where to take it from here because I'm looking at my list and I don't see 484 anything that we haven't talked about yet. And I'm sure there's things that aren't on my list that 485 are important--. 486 487 00:08:40 488 Shey Conover: Yeah; I think I would just want to add one or two things about kind of this as--489 as a partnership effort and kind of the ongoing relationships that--that we have with folks in 490 Maine and--and across the country to protect working waterfronts. 491 492 00:09:03 493 Interviewer: Yeah. 494 495 00:09:05 496 Shey Conover: Okay; oh it's still--sorry. 497 498 00:09:10 499 Interviewer: We you know we can edit all that stuff out but I like to just leave it on so we can 500 not worry about it.

- 501
- 502 **00:09:15**
- 503 Shey Conover: Absolutely. I just completely forgot that it was still on.
- 504
- 505 **00:09:18**
- 506 **Interviewer:** That's good; that's what you're supposed to do so you can feel comfortable 507 around it.
- 508
- 509 **00:09:22**
- 510 Shey Conover: So the--the working waterfront inventory project was you know was a great
- 511 project that--that happened in 2005 to 2007. We're pleased that it was a collaborative effort
- among a number of partner organizations and communities to gather the information and to get it
- 513 packaged. Just as important as the inventory is the ongoing relationships both at the State level
- 514 with Maine's Working Waterfront Coalition and then also the--the relationships that--that has
- 515 developed around supporting the creation of the National Working Waterfront Network and
- 516 ultimately it's about relationships and being able to share a variety of different tools that folks
- 517 are developing to support and protect working waterfront access as a really important resource
- 518 for the State of Maine but for coastal communities around the country.
- 519
- 520 **00:10:24**
- 521 Interviewer: Yeah; awesome. All right; anything else that you think we should touch on?
- 522
- 523 **00:10:34**

- 524 Shey Conover: Not until I hear it and think oh god; did I say that? [*Laughs*] Or I didn't say
  525 that? [*Laughs*]
- 526
- 527 **00:10:46**
- 528 Interviewer: All right; well I don't think I'm supposed to end it in any special way so if there's
- 529 nothing else that you think we should talk about right now I think we covered a lot of really great
- 530 topics and this will be really useful and interesting for people to learn about. So I feel good
- 531 about--
- 532
- 533 **00:11:03**
- 534 **Shey Conover:** Great; well thank you.
- 535
- **536 00:11:04**
- 537 Interviewer: Thank you very much for taking the time to do this and to share your experience
- and knowledge and hopefully this will be helpful to other people in other areas.

- **5**40 **00:11:14**
- 541 Shey Conover: Absolutely; I'm happy to share--I guess let me know the best way to share any
- 542 images that would be helpful and if it seems like there's something or another question that
- 543 emerges--I'm happy to--

544

- 545 **00:11:26**
- 546 Interviewer: Okay.

Page 24 of 25 Transcript provided by Shelley Chance t/a Pro.Docs www.prodocservices.com

- 548 **00:11:28**
- 549 Shey Conover: --provide whatever information is helpful.
- 550
- 551 **00:11:29**
- 552 Interviewer: Yeah; yeah maybe we can figure out some way that--
- 553
- **554 00:11:33**
- 555 Shey Conover: Is Natalie the best person to work with on that or--?
- 556
- **557 00:11:38**
- 558 Interviewer: Um, probably 'cause yeah my role is to pretty much just collect this stuff and then
- hand it off. Hmm; I guess I'll turn this off now. We don't need to record.
- 560
- 561 **00:11:53**
- 562 [End Shey Conover Part 2 Interview]